UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,960	04/15/2004	Sheng-Ping Zhong	10527-447001 / 02-200	2208	
26191 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/09/200 ARDSON P.C.	7	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022			ROZANSKI, MICHAEL T		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3768		
	<u>.                                    </u>				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 🗈	2VAC	04/09/2007	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	1+1						
	Apı	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		/826,960	ZHONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summ	Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
		hael Rozanski	3768				
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date or - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DATE of provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). If this communication. aximum statutory period will appet for reply will, by statute, cause a months after the mailing date of the statute.	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a  ly and will expire SIX (6) MOR the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this C BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 15 April 2	004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action						
3) Since this application is in co	/—		ters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with th							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending	in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe	d.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected	ed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	ed to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to	restriction and/or electi	on requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Examir	er. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P7	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of		rity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No							
1. Certified copies of the	•						
2. Certified copies of the	•			-			
·			received in this National	Stage			
	ternational Bureau (PC						
* See the attached detailed Offi	ce action for a list of th	e certified copies not	received.				
. Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D/SB/08)	5)	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/826,960

Art Unit: 3768

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
   121:
  - I. Claims 1-48, drawn to a method of generating an MRI image of a medical device and proximate body tissue, classified in class 600, subclass 427.
  - Claims 49-53, drawn to a medical device for insertion into body tissue, classified in class 600, subclass 427.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, wherein there is no need to perform a second MRI process to obtain a second image data. This would comprise a different method of use than the method found in Invention I, where two MRI processes are preformed and the image data are combined.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,960 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A described in para. [0011]

Species B described in para. [0020]

Species C described in para. [0021]

Species D described in para. [0023]

The species are independent or distinct because they have distinct structural elements that would require different searches in the art and the embodiments are non-obvious variants of each other..

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims generic to all embodiments.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,960

Art Unit: 3768

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. A telephone call was made to Stephen Schaefer on 3/12/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence

Application/Control Number: 10/826,960

Art Unit: 3768

or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rozanski whose telephone number is 571-272-1648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on 571-272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MR

Elister MERICAGE Sp63768